

6 February 2026

ErSed Reference: 25024-ERMR-03-260207

Nico Tjen
Director, Icon Oceania Kemps Development Pty
10/350 Kent St, Sydney, NSW 2000

Re: SSD 23480429 – Westgate Industrial Estate at 253-267 Aldington Road, Kemps Creek

Environmental Representative: Monthly Report (ERMR #03)

Condition of Approval A48(k) for SSD 23480429 requires that the ER:

*“prepare and submit to the Planning Secretary and other relevant regulatory agencies, for information, an **Environmental Representative Monthly Report** providing the information set out in the Environmental Representative Protocol under the heading “Environmental Representative Monthly Reports.” The **Environmental Representative Monthly Report** must be submitted within **seven calendar days** following the end of each month for the duration of the ER’s engagement for the development, or as otherwise agreed with the Planning Secretary”.*

This report has been prepared in accordance with condition A48 (k) of the Development Consent and covers the period from 1 January 2026 to 31 January 2026.

The following report is to be provided to the Planning Secretary in response to this direction.

Please contact me if you require further information.

Sincerely,

Richard Peterson



Associate, ErSed Environmental Pty Ltd
Environmental Representative for SSD 23480429

SSD 23480429 – Westgate Industrial Estate
253-267 Aldington Road, Kemps Creek
Environmental Representative Monthly Report (ERM# #03)
For the period 1 January 2026 to 31 January 2026







1.	Construction activities carried out during the reporting period	<p>Construction of Westgate Industrial Estate are currently being performed by Simmons Construction (Simmons). The following works were being undertaken during the reporting period:</p> <p>The following works were being undertaken during the reporting period:</p> <p><u>Main construction Simmons</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clean water Drain finalised • Basin 3 has been finalised, trimmed and inlet and outlets finalised • Basin 2 started deslopping • Asbestos Materials relocated in to containment cell– awaiting validation 						
2.	Proposed upcoming construction works (where known)	<p>The following works are expected in the next period:</p> <p><u>Main construction Simmons</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Start capping asbestos containment cell following validation • Start bulk earthworks around Basin 2 						
3.	ER activities undertaken during this reporting period.							
3 (a)	Site inspections	<p>During the reporting period, ER carried out the following inspections:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="472 810 1446 1129"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="472 810 634 852">Date</th> <th data-bbox="634 810 1446 852">SSD 10479 - Key Observations</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="2" data-bbox="472 852 1446 894">Simmons</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="472 894 634 1129">22/01/2026</td> <td data-bbox="634 894 1446 1129"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sediment Basin No. 3 and the clean water diversion drain have been completed. • Contaminated material is being progressively placed within the approved burial cell. • ER sign-off of erosion and sediment controls has been obtained in accordance with Condition B22 (refer to separate letter dated 24 January 2026). • Some minor actions were identified to improve erosion and sediment controls </td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>A selection of photographs taken as part of inspections is provided, with comments, at section 15.</p>	Date	SSD 10479 - Key Observations	Simmons		22/01/2026	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sediment Basin No. 3 and the clean water diversion drain have been completed. • Contaminated material is being progressively placed within the approved burial cell. • ER sign-off of erosion and sediment controls has been obtained in accordance with Condition B22 (refer to separate letter dated 24 January 2026). • Some minor actions were identified to improve erosion and sediment controls
Date	SSD 10479 - Key Observations							
Simmons								
22/01/2026	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sediment Basin No. 3 and the clean water diversion drain have been completed. • Contaminated material is being progressively placed within the approved burial cell. • ER sign-off of erosion and sediment controls has been obtained in accordance with Condition B22 (refer to separate letter dated 24 January 2026). • Some minor actions were identified to improve erosion and sediment controls 							
3 (b)	Audits undertaken	No audits were undertaken during the reporting period.						
4.	Audits/ Inspections by Others	<p>A summary of the observation from CPESC monthly reports prepared is provided below.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="472 1283 1446 1967"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2" data-bbox="472 1283 1446 1325">Simmons</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="472 1325 634 1967">14/01/2026</td> <td data-bbox="634 1325 1446 1967"> <p>An Erosion and Sediment Control Inspection was conducted by a Certified Professional in Erosion and Sediment Control (CPESC), Tim Michel, Associate Technical Director – Urban Water Management, CPESC No. 11555, of AT&L.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An erosion and sediment control inspection was undertaken following minor rainfall earlier in the week. • Excavation of accumulated sediment within Sediment Basin 3 was ongoing, with final basin form and design storage capacity yet to be fully achieved. • Minor adjustments are required to the clean water diversion drain, including securing geofabric and improving the downstream transition to a level spreader. • An interim shaker grid has been installed at the site entry/exit and will be replaced with a permanent wash bay in accordance with the approved drawings. • A trapped low point has been identified and will be regraded to drain toward Sediment Basin 3. • Surveyed confirmation of Sediment Basin 3 volume and catch drain extents will be provided following completion of works. <p>Based on the inspection findings, the site was considered to be generally compliant with erosion and sediment control (ESC) requirements for the current stage of works, subject to completion of the identified corrective actions and ongoing maintenance in accordance with the approved Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP).</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Simmons		14/01/2026	<p>An Erosion and Sediment Control Inspection was conducted by a Certified Professional in Erosion and Sediment Control (CPESC), Tim Michel, Associate Technical Director – Urban Water Management, CPESC No. 11555, of AT&L.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An erosion and sediment control inspection was undertaken following minor rainfall earlier in the week. • Excavation of accumulated sediment within Sediment Basin 3 was ongoing, with final basin form and design storage capacity yet to be fully achieved. • Minor adjustments are required to the clean water diversion drain, including securing geofabric and improving the downstream transition to a level spreader. • An interim shaker grid has been installed at the site entry/exit and will be replaced with a permanent wash bay in accordance with the approved drawings. • A trapped low point has been identified and will be regraded to drain toward Sediment Basin 3. • Surveyed confirmation of Sediment Basin 3 volume and catch drain extents will be provided following completion of works. <p>Based on the inspection findings, the site was considered to be generally compliant with erosion and sediment control (ESC) requirements for the current stage of works, subject to completion of the identified corrective actions and ongoing maintenance in accordance with the approved Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP).</p>		
Simmons								
14/01/2026	<p>An Erosion and Sediment Control Inspection was conducted by a Certified Professional in Erosion and Sediment Control (CPESC), Tim Michel, Associate Technical Director – Urban Water Management, CPESC No. 11555, of AT&L.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An erosion and sediment control inspection was undertaken following minor rainfall earlier in the week. • Excavation of accumulated sediment within Sediment Basin 3 was ongoing, with final basin form and design storage capacity yet to be fully achieved. • Minor adjustments are required to the clean water diversion drain, including securing geofabric and improving the downstream transition to a level spreader. • An interim shaker grid has been installed at the site entry/exit and will be replaced with a permanent wash bay in accordance with the approved drawings. • A trapped low point has been identified and will be regraded to drain toward Sediment Basin 3. • Surveyed confirmation of Sediment Basin 3 volume and catch drain extents will be provided following completion of works. <p>Based on the inspection findings, the site was considered to be generally compliant with erosion and sediment control (ESC) requirements for the current stage of works, subject to completion of the identified corrective actions and ongoing maintenance in accordance with the approved Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP).</p>							

5.	Summary of Community Consultation	The CCS includes the register of consultation and communication for the Project. A summarised extract for the reporting period is provided as Attachment 1.																											
6.	Summary of Complaints	No complaints were received during the reporting period. <table border="1" data-bbox="454 226 1446 325"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="454 226 600 268">Date</th> <th data-bbox="600 226 1446 268">Details</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="454 268 600 325">NIL</td> <td data-bbox="600 268 1446 325"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Date	Details	NIL																								
Date	Details																												
NIL																													
7.	Summary of Incidents	During the reporting period, no incidents were reported to the Planning Secretary. <table border="1" data-bbox="454 367 1446 472"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="454 367 600 409">Date</th> <th data-bbox="600 367 1446 409">Details</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="454 409 600 472">NIL</td> <td data-bbox="600 409 1446 472"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Date	Details	NIL																								
Date	Details																												
NIL																													
8.	Summary of Non-Compliances	During the reporting period, no non-compliances were reported to the Planning Secretary. <table border="1" data-bbox="454 514 1446 619"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="454 514 600 556">Date</th> <th data-bbox="600 514 1446 556">Details</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="454 556 600 619">NIL</td> <td data-bbox="600 556 1446 619"></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Date	Details	NIL																								
Date	Details																												
NIL																													
9.	Evaluation of Environmental Performance	<p>The ER's evaluation of Environmental Performance is based on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Review of monitoring data for dust, noise and traffic - Review of complaints and incidents - Monthly CPESC Audit report - Stakeholder feedback - ER site inspections. <p>Further discussion of environmental performance is presented below.</p> <p>NOISE</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="454 924 1446 1134"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="454 924 633 966">Month</th> <th data-bbox="633 924 950 966">Noise Levels</th> <th data-bbox="950 924 1446 966">Comments</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3" data-bbox="454 966 1446 1008">Simmons</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="454 1008 633 1134">January 2025</td> <td data-bbox="633 1008 950 1134">Noise levels during the reporting period ranged between 64 dB(A) and 72 dB(A) LAeq.</td> <td data-bbox="950 1008 1446 1134"> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All recorded noise levels were below the highly impacted Noise Management Level (NML) of 75dB(A). </td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Recommendations – Noise</p> <p>Should any complaints be received, attended monitoring is undertaken at the complaints residence to accurately determine whether (or not) the noise management levels are complied with and whether any further management actions are required.</p> <p>DUST</p> <p>Dust Sampling was conducted in accordance with the Construction Air Quality Management Plan (CAQMP). The real time dust monitors provide an instantaneous measure of potential air quality impacts. This method determines real-time (continuous) dust concentrations. This method enables determination of airborne dust concentrations at a point in time. Realtime monitoring results show short-term variations and are strongly influenced by weather (wind direction, humidity, rainfall) and immediate site activities. As for high volume samplers, when matched with records of wind data, this method enables determination of dust levels from a particular event or source.</p> <p>The real time air quality criteria as described in the CAQMP are presented in the table below.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="454 1638 1446 1869"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="454 1638 828 1690">Pollutant</th> <th data-bbox="828 1638 1015 1690">Averaging Period</th> <th data-bbox="1015 1638 1234 1690">Air Quality Criteria</th> <th data-bbox="1234 1638 1446 1690">Application</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="454 1690 828 1774" rowspan="2">Particulate matter less than 10 micrometres in diameter (PM₁₀)</td> <td data-bbox="828 1690 1015 1732">Annual</td> <td data-bbox="1015 1690 1234 1732">25 µg/m³</td> <td data-bbox="1234 1690 1446 1732">Off-site receiver</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="828 1732 1015 1774">24-hour</td> <td data-bbox="1015 1732 1234 1774">50 µg /m³</td> <td data-bbox="1234 1732 1446 1774">Off-site receiver</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="454 1774 828 1858" rowspan="2">Particulate matter less than 2.5 micrometres in diameter (PM_{2.5})</td> <td data-bbox="828 1774 1015 1816">Annual</td> <td data-bbox="1015 1774 1234 1816">8 µg /m³</td> <td data-bbox="1234 1774 1446 1816">Off-site receiver</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="828 1816 1015 1858">24-hour</td> <td data-bbox="1015 1816 1234 1858">25 µg /m³</td> <td data-bbox="1234 1816 1446 1858">Off-site receiver</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Month	Noise Levels	Comments	Simmons			January 2025	Noise levels during the reporting period ranged between 64 dB(A) and 72 dB(A) LAeq.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All recorded noise levels were below the highly impacted Noise Management Level (NML) of 75dB(A). 	Pollutant	Averaging Period	Air Quality Criteria	Application	Particulate matter less than 10 micrometres in diameter (PM ₁₀)	Annual	25 µg/m ³	Off-site receiver	24-hour	50 µg /m ³	Off-site receiver	Particulate matter less than 2.5 micrometres in diameter (PM _{2.5})	Annual	8 µg /m ³	Off-site receiver	24-hour	25 µg /m ³	Off-site receiver
Month	Noise Levels	Comments																											
Simmons																													
January 2025	Noise levels during the reporting period ranged between 64 dB(A) and 72 dB(A) LAeq.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All recorded noise levels were below the highly impacted Noise Management Level (NML) of 75dB(A). 																											
Pollutant	Averaging Period	Air Quality Criteria	Application																										
Particulate matter less than 10 micrometres in diameter (PM ₁₀)	Annual	25 µg/m ³	Off-site receiver																										
	24-hour	50 µg /m ³	Off-site receiver																										
Particulate matter less than 2.5 micrometres in diameter (PM _{2.5})	Annual	8 µg /m ³	Off-site receiver																										
	24-hour	25 µg /m ³	Off-site receiver																										

		<p>A summary of results for the reporting period is provided below:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Month</th> <th>Details of Exceedances</th> <th>Comments</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="3">Simmons</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="2">January 2025</td> <td>NIL</td> <td>The rolling averages for particulate matter PM_{2.5} was below the limit (8µg /m³) during the reporting period.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NIL</td> <td>The rolling averages for particulate matter (PM₁₀) was below the limit (25µg /m³) during the reporting period.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Recommendations - Dust</p> <p>Construction works should continue to prioritize ongoing visual monitoring, weather forecasting, and adjustments to work practices during hot, dry, and windy conditions. Additional measures outlined in previous ER monthly reports should also remain in effect, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Planned and focused application of water on designated haul routes. Retention of vegetative ground cover and temporary stabilization/ground cover in non-active work areas. Provision of ground stabilisation for completed work areas as soon as reasonably practicable <p>TRAFFIC</p> <p>The CTMP plan approved construction site traffic restrictions per day (7:00 am to 6:00 pm).</p> <p>It was reported that no heavy vehicle movements occurred during the January 2026 reporting period.</p> <p>Recommendations - Traffic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continue to monitor and manage on site with regular toolbox talks with new suppliers and subcontractors to site identifying the importance of following the CTMP code of conduct. 	Month	Details of Exceedances	Comments	Simmons			January 2025	NIL	The rolling averages for particulate matter PM _{2.5} was below the limit (8µg /m ³) during the reporting period.	NIL	The rolling averages for particulate matter (PM ₁₀) was below the limit (25µg /m ³) during the reporting period.
Month	Details of Exceedances	Comments											
Simmons													
January 2025	NIL	The rolling averages for particulate matter PM _{2.5} was below the limit (8µg /m ³) during the reporting period.											
	NIL	The rolling averages for particulate matter (PM ₁₀) was below the limit (25µg /m ³) during the reporting period.											
10.	Analysis of lesson learnt and opportunities for improvement	NIL											
11.	Project Changes	<p>Changes to the project that occurred during the reporting period are listed in the table below.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Documentation</th> <th>Version and Date (Author)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>NIL</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Documentation	Version and Date (Author)	NIL								
Documentation	Version and Date (Author)												
NIL													
12.	Any meetings attended by ER	<p>The ER has been involved in the following meetings.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Date</th> <th>Details</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>NIL</td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Date	Details	NIL								
Date	Details												
NIL													
13.	Summary of documents issued by the ER	<p>The following documents were issued by the ER.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Date</th> <th>Details</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>24/01/2026</td> <td>Letter (Ref: 25024-WESTGATE-LTR- B22 -251119) issued to DPHI confirming erosion and sediment controls document were operational prior to the commencement of earthworks. Letter issued in accordance with Condition B22</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Date	Details	24/01/2026	Letter (Ref: 25024-WESTGATE-LTR- B22 -251119) issued to DPHI confirming erosion and sediment controls document were operational prior to the commencement of earthworks. Letter issued in accordance with Condition B22							
Date	Details												
24/01/2026	Letter (Ref: 25024-WESTGATE-LTR- B22 -251119) issued to DPHI confirming erosion and sediment controls document were operational prior to the commencement of earthworks. Letter issued in accordance with Condition B22												
14.	Closing Remarks	<p>Based on site inspections, review of monitoring data, CPESC reports, and stakeholder feedback, the ER considers the project to be performing satisfactorily from an environmental management perspective during the January 2026 reporting period. Erosion and sediment controls were observed to be generally effective and operational, with no incidents, complaints, or non-compliances reported.</p> <p>Minor maintenance and improvement items identified during inspections are considered routine for the current stage of works and are being appropriately managed by the contractor.</p>											

15.

Photo	Location and comment	Resolution/Action/Project Response
Simmons		
	<p><u>Sediment Basin – 22/01/2026</u> Sediment basin # 3 was complete and included geofabric lined inlet and outlet.</p>	<p>OBSERVATION</p>
	<p><u>Site Bund – 22/01/2026</u> A geofabric bund has been installed at the western end of the site, there is potential for concentrated flows along the length of the bund with the potential for scour. Recommendation Place intermittent rock checks or other similar energy dissipating devices to reduce flow velocities.</p>	<p>OBSERVATION RISK - MEDIUM</p>
	<p><u>Dust management – 22/01/2026</u> A water cart was available on site to mitigate dust and was actively watering down haul roads with no dust observed.</p>	<p>OBSERVATION</p>
	<p><u>Remediation containment cell – 22/01/2026</u> Contaminated material placement within the containment cell was substantially complete and awaiting verification from the EPA auditor.</p>	<p>OBSERVATION</p>



Site entrance – 22/01/2026

The need to stabilise the site entrance was discussed, it was noted that the application of a DGB material layer is proposed to be applied following testing of the material at the property entrance.

OBSERVATION

Attachment 1 – Extract of Consultation and Communication Register

Date	Responsible Rep	In/Out/ Meeting	Initial Communication Method/Tool	Contact Name/ Organisation	Nature of Complaint/Enquiry/ Communication	Summary of Issues/Details of Communication	Status
NIL							